

11 MAR 2022

Fitch Affirms Aqualia's EUR650 million Senior Secured Bond at 'BBB'; Remove RWN

Fitch Ratings - Barcelona - 11 Mar 2022: Fitch Ratings has affirmed FCC Aqualia S.A.'s EUR650 million senior secured bond at 'BBB' and removed it from Rating Watch Negative (RWN). This follows the unsuccessful consent solicitation to amend certain terms and conditions (T&C) of the bond, including the release of the security, and the company's decision to abandon the consent solicitation process.

Key Rating Drivers

Unsuccessful Consent Solicitation: The consent solicitation requested by Aqualia to move to an unsecured-type structure for its EUR650 million senior secured notes was rejected by the noteholders. The solicitation, which included a proposed consent fee of 0.2%, attained 62% of the votes, short of the 75% majority required for approval. Following the outcome of the vote, Aqualia's Board of Directors declined to modify or to opt for an alternative proposal, hence aborting the solicitation process.

Downgrade Averted: The proposal for the company's EUR650 million bond holders included the replacement of the security package by a negative pledge and the removal of the debt service reserve account, while it would have introduced looser restrictions on distributions (to a maximum 100% dividend pay-out if leverage is below 5.0x, 75% if it remains above), eliminating the previous dividend cap at 45% pay-out (0% if above 5.0x leverage). A successful consent solicitation would have removed provisions supporting the one-notch uplift to the secured notes' rating.

Rating Uplift Applicable for Secured Debt: We will continue to apply a one-notch uplift to Aqualia's senior secured bonds from the Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of 'BBB-', based on all the creditor-friendly provisions included in the company's financing package (ie the security, 12- month interest payment reserve account, and the covenanted structure). Any of these in isolation would not support the uplift but we believe that together they could delay default and improve recovery.

Prior-Ranking Debt to Increase Post-refinancing: Aqualia's plan to issue senior unsecured debt of about EUR800 million at holdco to largely refinance its EUR700 million senior secured bond maturing in 2022 remains unchanged, albeit subject to market conditions. Therefore, we expect the future co-existence of unsecured and secured instruments in the debt structure, which increases prior-ranking debt and subordination risk for the new unsecured funding.

We expect prior-ranking debt to increase to 2.3x EBITDA by end-2022 (from a negligible amount before) although still below the 2.5x level which could indicate a material possibility of subordination and lower recovery prospect for unsecured debt, according to our criteria.

Restricted Dividends until 2027: We expect the more restrictive provisions for the EUR650 million senior secured financing versus the new proposed EUR800 million bond to apply and to remain in place until it matures in 2027. This include the maximum dividend pay-out of 45% of cumulated net income from 2017, including a dividend lock up if leverage is above 5.0x.

Slightly Revised Dividend Forecast: As a result, we expect dividends distribution to be below our previous assumption of 56% pay-out for 2022-2025. This can result in improved credit metrics, if additional free cash flow (FCF) is retained in the company or if it is not fully allocated to additional growth.

Derivation Summary

Aqualia is a water and sewerage network operator that, unlike some of its European peers, does not fully own its asset base. However, its investments are supported by the value of its concessions. The company is firmly positioned relative to EU peers in water-cycle management activities. However, its water-infrastructure construction activity adds some volatility to cash flow compared with pure water asset operators including the Spanish peer Canal de Isabel II, S.A. (BBB+/Stable). Moreover, Aqualia operates in a decentralised and less developed regulatory environment than in Italy and the UK, which have independently regulated and consultative frameworks and longer licensed concession duration.

Holding d'Infrastructures des Metiers de l'Environnement's (SAUR; BBB-/Stable) is the closest peer in business mix and scale. Aqualia's municipal concessions and operation and maintenance (O&M) account for about 90% of EBITDA, compared with around 80% for SAUR. We also regard concessions in Spain and Portugal as stronger, given financial-equilibrium provisions that protect the value of concessions under certain circumstances. We believe France's regulatory framework for water and wastewater is less predictable than that in Spain.

Overall, we see Aqualia's business risk profile as slightly better than that of SAUR, with longer average concession residual life, higher renewal rates, better profitability due to higher capex intensity, and a contractual framework that includes financial-equilibrium mechanisms. This allows for a greater debt capacity at the same rating; 5.3x funds from operations (FFO) adjusted net debt at Aqualia compared with 5.0x for SAUR.

Finally, we do not apply the one-notch uplift associated with above-average expected recoveries for senior unsecured creditors that are common to regulated networks. This is due to the less developed regulatory framework in Spain than in other jurisdictions, the complexity of a highly granular base of contracts and the limited share of full ownership of its asset base.

Key Assumptions

- Volumes to recover to pre-Covid-19 volumes by 2022, followed by stable consumption to 2025
- Renewal rate of water concession contracts at 90%, in line with historical trends
- EBITDA margins of water and build-operate-transfer (BOT) concessions at around 25%, O&M at 11% and engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) at 9% to 2025

- Average net investments of about EUR286 million per year over 2022-2025, including the GGU acquisition (EUR160 million in 2022 and EUR55 million in 2025), and an additional EUR250 million buffer compared with management's

- Limited EBITDA and dividends received from new contracts awarded and acquisitions (about EUR90 million and EUR7 million, respectively in 2025)

- Dividends at 45% of net profit per year

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- Stronger cash flow leading to recourse FFO net leverage below 4.5x and recourse FFO interest coverage above 6.5x on a sustained basis

- Lower business risk, such as an independent and centralised water regulator or increased transparency in the regulatory framework, which could be credit-positive

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Weaker cash flow generation leading to recourse FFO net leverage above 5.3x and recourse FFO interest coverage below 5.0x.

- Higher business risk, such as a lower share of regulated activities in the business mix in favour of higher-risk ones (EPC or O&M) or a material increase in non-recourse funding

- Looser legal ring-fencing or more related-party transactions could lead us to reconsider the parent/subsidiary linkage between Aqualia and Fomento de Construcciones y Contratas

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

Strong Liquidity: At end-2021 Aqualia's liquidity comprised readily available cash of EUR586 million and EUR1.1 billion of committed credit lines. The latter include an uncommitted credit facility of EUR0.9 billion maturing in January 2023, which was raised in 2021 as a liquidity buffer ahead of Aqualia's refinancing in 2022. Even without refinancing Aqualia's liquidity position would fully cover the

EUR700 million bond maturing in 2022 as well as expected negative FCF (post-dividend and M&A) over the next three years.

Issuer Profile

Aqualia is a water and wastewater network operator in Spain, as well as in markets outside of Spain, with projects throughout Europe, Latin America, the Middle East and north Africa in more than 700 municipalities.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Maria Linares

Associate Director

Primary Rating Analyst

[+34 93 492 9512](tel:+34934929512)

Fitch Ratings Ireland Spanish Branch, Sucursal en España Av. Diagonal 601 Barcelona 08028

Jaime Sierra

Analyst

Secondary Rating Analyst

[+49 69 768076 275](tel:+4969768076275)

Pilar Auguets

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

[+34 93 467 8747](tel:+34934678747)

Media Contacts

Pilar Perez

Barcelona

[+34 93 323 8414](tel:+34933238414)

pilar.perez@fitchratings.com


Tahmina Pinnington-Mannan

London

[+44 20 3530 1128](tel:+442035301128)

tahmina.p-mannan@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
FCC Aqualia, S.A.			
• senior secured LT	BBB	Affirmed	BBB 

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE		
NEGATIVE		
EVOLVING		
STABLE		

Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.15 Oct 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.09 Apr 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria \(pub.01 Dec 2021\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

Endorsement Status

Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE OR ANCILLARY SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF PERMISSIBLE SERVICE(S) FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA-REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A COMPANY) OR ANCILLARY SERVICE(S) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: [1-800-753-4824](tel:1-800-753-4824), [\(212\) 908-0500](tel:212-908-0500). Fax: [\(212\) 480-4435](tel:212-480-4435). Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information

Fitch relies on information in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on

behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Endorsement policy

Fitch’s international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch’s approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch’s [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch’s website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.